

Serial No. 09/867,914
Response to Office Action March 17, 2004

Remarks

By the foregoing amendment, non-elected claims 1-9 and 13-46 have been cancelled as requested by the Examiner.

Applicant confirms the prior election of the agent EtxB of claims 50, 65, 66, 67, 68, and claims dependent thereon.


In the Office Action mailed February 20, 2004, the Examiner has noted incorrect claim dependency in claims 57-59 which are corrected by the foregoing amendment to make these claims dependent on claim 53 instead of claim 52.

In the Office Action mailed February 20, 2004, the Examiner mentions that an election of antigens was not made. Applicant believes that this requirement was responded to in the "Election Pursuant to Restriction Requirement" where Applicant elected the antigen insulin. However, to further respond to the original restriction requirement, Applicant confirms the election of the antigen insulin (claim 57).

Serial No. 09/867,914
Response to Office Action March 17, 2004

If there is any question about the completeness of this response, please call the undersigned attorney.

Respectfully submitted,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Stephen P. McNamara", is written over a horizontal line.

Stephen P. McNamara, Registration No. 32,745
Michael W. Krenicky, Registration No. 45,411
Attorneys for Applicants
ST. ONGE STEWARD JOHNSTON & REENS LLC
986 Bedford Street
Stamford, CT 06905-5619
203 324-6155